

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 12/31/2003

ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. FIRST NAMED INVENTOR FILING DATE APPLICATION NO. 01AB082 1691 Joseph Lenner 08/23/2001 09/938,227 EXAMINER 12/31/2003 7590 FRANKLIN, JAMARA ALZAIDA William R. Walbrun Rockwell Automation (Allen-Bradley Co., Inc.) PAPER NUMBER ART UNIT 1201 South Second Street Milwaukee, WI 53204

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Office Action Summary	09/938,227	LENNER, JOSEPH
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Jamara A. Franklin	2876
The MAILING DATE of this communication	appears on the cover sheet wit	th the correspondence address
eriod for Reply	DLV IO OET TO EVEIDE AM	ONITH/S) EDOM
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RE THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIO - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFI after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory pe - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by st - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the m earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	N. R 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a re- reply within the statutory minimum of thirt; riod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON ature, cause the application to become AB	eply be timely filed y (30) days will be considered timely. THS from the mailing date of this communication. ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 1	3 October 2003.	
<u> </u>	his action is non-final.	
3) Since this application is in condition for allocation accordance with the practice und	owance except for formal matt	ers, prosecution as to the merits is 11, 453 O.G. 213.
Disposition of Claims		
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-34</u> is/are pending in the applica	tion.	
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with		
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.		
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-34</u> is/are rejected.		
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.		
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction as	nd/or election requirement.	
Application Papers		
9) The specification is objected to by the Exar	miner.	
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)	accepted or b) ☐ objected to	by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to		
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the co		
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the	e Examiner. Note the attache	d Office Action or form PTO-152.
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fo a) All b) Some * c) None of:		§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).
 1. Certified copies of the priority docur 2. Certified copies of the priority docur 3. Copies of the certified copies of the 	nents have been received in A priority documents have beer	Application No received in this National Stage
application from the International Bu * See the attached detailed Office action for a 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for dor since a specific reference was included in the	a list of the certified copies not nestic priority under 35 U.S.C.	. § 119(e) (to a provisional application)
37 CFR 1.78. a) ☐ The translation of the foreign languag	e provisional application has t	peen received.
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for dor reference was included in the first sentence	nestic priority under 35 U.S.C.	. §§ 120 and/or 121 since a specific
Attach mont/o		
Attachment(s) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) ☐ Interview	Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)
2) Notice of References Cited (PTO-932) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-94) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper N	8) 5) Notice of	Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)

Art Unit: 2876

DETAILED ACTION

Acknowledgment is made of the receipt of the amendment received on 10/13/03. Claims 1-34 are currently pending.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States
- 2. Claims 1-3, 5-11, and 13-34 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Castleman et al. (US 5,508,691) (hereinafter referred to as 'Castleman').

Castleman teaches an electronic module 110, 210 that is held within a lock. The electronic module 110, 210 in the lock reads a code number from a ROM in an electronic key 120, 220 and stores the code number in a RAM inside the electronics module 110, 210. The electronic module 110, 210 alternates the control state of the lock between open and closed conditions by controlling the power system. The lock may be applied toward dangerous, heavy machinery and the maintenance of safety equipment particularly where interruption of service of the equipment is required for maintenance.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

Art Unit: 2876

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

4. Claims 4 and 12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Castleman in view of Mabuchi et al. (US 6,417,760) (hereinafter referred to as 'Mabuchi').

Castleman lacks the teaching of a display to present information.

Mabuchi teaches an inspection system wherein an inspection display unit 6 reads out the inspection information stored in an inspection information file 5 (col. 16, lines 41-45).

One of ordinary skill in the art would have readily recognized that displaying pertinent information about dangerous equipment on a display would have been beneficial for possibly giving an observer insight as to the important operations and functions of the equipment. Therefore, it would have been obvious, at the time the invention was made, to modify the teachings of Castleman with the aforementioned teaching of Mabuchi.

Response to Arguments

5. Applicant's arguments filed 10/13/03 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

In response to the argument that Castleman does not teach a data analyzer employed to analyze the electronic key data and generate a control data base on the analysis, as indicated by the applicant's representative, Castleman teaches reading a key code from an electronic key and **comparing** the code against one or more authorized

Art Unit: 2876

codes that are pre-stored in an EEPROM(s) of an electronic lock in order to **determine** whether the read code is associated with a pre-stored master or slave code, or is an unauthorized code.

The comparison step as discussed above is inherently performed by a data analyzer since the code is being compared against, and therefore analyzed, to pre-stored codes.

The determination step as discussed above is inherently performed to generate a control data based on the analysis of the code.

Therefore, the examiner believes that the Castleman reference reads upon the claimed limitations.

Conclusion

6. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Art Unit: 2876

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jamara A. Franklin whose telephone number is 703-305-0128. Effective January 15, 2004, the telephone number will be 571-272-2389. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday 8:00am to 4:30pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael G. Lee can be reached on (703) 305-3503. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0956.

Examiner Art Unit 2876

JAF

MICHAEL G. LEE SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2800